UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,797	05/12/2005	Michael Roreger	512100-2047	9006
Frommer Lawre	7590 01/12/200 ence & Haug	EXAMINER		
745 Fifth Avenu	ue	HWU, DAVIS D		
New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/534,797	ROREGER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Davis Hwu	3752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 No. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-14 and 16-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-14 and 16-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/534,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-14, and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischel-Ghodsian.

Fischel-Ghodsian discloses a dispenser for controlled release of volatile substances, comprising: a reservoir 42 that is flat and has a top face and a bottom face, and which covered on its top face with a layer of material 46 impermeable to the substances and covered on its bottom face by a first control element 44, the reservoir containing a volatile substance, the first control element being composed of a material which is permeable to the volatile substance and which exerts control over the release rate of the substance by means of diffusion, and a second control element 50 being in the form of a highly porous film inherently possessing a plurality of gaps (pores); and wherein the first control element is fully covered by the second control element such that during use, the volatile substance moves from the reservoir through the first control element and then through the second control element. Fischel-Ghodsian does not disclose the number of gaps or the first control element being a pressure-sensitively adhesive. The number of gaps as recited would have been a matter of design choice, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Page 3

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art and the first control element and the first control element being pressure-sensitively adhesive would also have been a matter of design choice since the end product along with its intended function would still be same with such a modification. The thickness of claims 6 and 11 would have been matters of design choice involving a mere change in the size of a device which is generally recognized as being within the level or ordinary skill in the art and the materials of the different parts as recited would also have been matters of design choice. The device will carry out the methods of claims 16 and 17. The limitations of claims 18-22 would all have been matters of design choice regarding types of materials and material weight.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is (571)272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

/Davis Hwu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752